

## REMARKS

Reconsideration of this Application, and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested. A check for \$400.00 is enclosed as the extra claim filing fee for two extra independent claims. These claims are of the scope of original claims 8 and 10, which were deemed to contain allowable subject matter, and include the limitations of the claims in original form from which they depended.

In response to the rejection on double patenting, a terminal disclaimer is enclosed.

Turning to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, claim 15 has been amended in response thereto.

Turning to the rejection to 35 U.S.C. 102, claim 1 now includes the limitation of claim 2, which is of a scope deemed by the Examiner to contain allowable subject matter. Accordingly, claim 1 is believed to be allowable, as well as its dependent claims.

Claims 16 through 24 have been allowed.

Return of the cited, multi page list of references by the Examiner is appreciated. Unfortunately, on the references returned, the Hieshima, et al., reference carries the wrong patent number. The correct number is 6,361,558. The Examiner is requested to review the reference and acknowledge such review by initialing and returning the enclosed PTO reference citation form.

The PTO did not receive the following  
listed item(s) A Check For \$400.00  
but we got \$ 200.00--

Accordingly, it is believed that this Application in allowable form.

Respectfully submitted,

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP



Garrettson Ellis  
Registration No. 22,792  
Attorney for Applicant

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP  
55 East Monroe Street, Suite 4200  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 269-8567

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on June 17, 2005.



Registered Attorney for Applicant  
Date: June 17, 2005